




Construction Materials

STEM Road Map
for High School



Edited by Carla C. Johnson,
Janet B. Walton, and Erin Peters-Burton


NSTApress
National Science Teachers Association



Construction Materials

STEM Road Map
for High School





Construction Materials



STEM Road Map for High School

Edited by Carla C. Johnson, Janet B. Walton, and
Erin Peters-Burton

NSTApress
National Science Teachers Association

Arlington, Virginia



Claire Reinburg, Director
Rachel Ledbetter, Managing Editor
Deborah Siegel, Associate Editor
Donna Yudkin, Book Acquisitions Manager

ART AND DESIGN
Will Thomas Jr., Director, cover and
interior design
Himabindu Bichali, Graphic Designer, interior
design

PRINTING AND PRODUCTION
Catherine Lorrain, Director

NATIONAL SCIENCE TEACHERS ASSOCIATION

David L. Evans, Executive Director
David Beacom, Publisher

1840 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22201
www.nsta.org/store
For customer service inquiries, please call 800-277-5300.

Copyright © 2018 by the National Science Teachers Association.
All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.
21 20 19 18 4 3 2 1

NSTA is committed to publishing material that promotes the best in inquiry-based science education. However, conditions of actual use may vary, and the safety procedures and practices described in this book are intended to serve only as a guide. Additional precautionary measures may be required. NSTA and the authors do not warrant or represent that the procedures and practices in this book meet any safety code or standard of federal, state, or local regulations. NSTA and the authors disclaim any liability for personal injury or damage to property arising out of or relating to the use of this book, including any of the recommendations, instructions, or materials contained therein.

PERMISSIONS

Book purchasers may photocopy, print, or e-mail up to five copies of an NSTA book chapter for personal use only; this does not include display or promotional use. Elementary, middle, and high school teachers may reproduce forms, sample documents, and single NSTA book chapters needed for classroom or noncommercial, professional-development use only. E-book buyers may download files to multiple personal devices but are prohibited from posting the files to third-party servers or websites, or from passing files to non-buyers. For additional permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this NSTA Press book, please contact the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) (www.copyright.com; 978-750-8400). Please access www.nsta.org/permissions for further information about NSTA's rights and permissions policies.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Johnson, Carla C., 1969- editor. | Walton, Janet B., 1968- editor. | Peters-Burton, Erin E., editor.
Title: Construction materials, grade 11 : STEM road map for high school / edited by Carla C. Johnson, Janet B. Walton, and Erin Peters-Burton.
Description: Arlington, VA : National Science Teachers Association, [2017] | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2017031048 (print) | LCCN 2017032816 (ebook) | ISBN 9781681404721 (e-book) | ISBN 9781681404714 (print)
Subjects: LCSH: Building--Study and teaching (Secondary)--United States. | Structural engineering--Study and teaching (Secondary)--United States. | Building materials. | Eleventh grade (Education)
Classification: LCC TH165 (ebook) | LCC TH165 .C6475 2017 (print) | DDC 624.1/8071273--dc23
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017031048>

The *Next Generation Science Standards* (“NGSS”) were developed by twenty-six states, in collaboration with the National Research Council, the National Science Teachers Association and the American Association for the Advancement of Science in a process managed by Achieve, Inc. For more information go to www.nextgenscience.org.

CONTENTS

About the Editors and Authors.....	vii
Acknowledgments.....	xi



Part 1: The STEM Road Map: Background, Theory, and Practice

1 Overview of the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>	1
Standards-Based Approach.....	2
Themes in the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>	2
The Need for an Integrated STEM Approach.....	5
Framework for STEM Integration in the Classroom.....	6
The Need for the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>	7
References.....	7
2 Strategies Used in the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>	9
Project- and Problem-Based Learning.....	9
Engineering Design Process.....	9
Learning Cycle.....	11
STEM Research Notebook.....	12
The Role of Assessment in the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>	13
Self-Regulated Learning Theory in the STEM Road Map Modules.....	16
Safety in STEM.....	18
References.....	19

Part 2: Construction Materials: STEM Road Map Module

3 Construction Materials Module Overview.....	23
Module Summary.....	23
Established Goals and Objectives.....	23
Challenge or Problem for Students to Solve: New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings.....	25

CONTENTS

Content Standards Addressed in This STEM Road Map Module.....	25
STEM Research Notebook.....	25
Module Launch.....	27
Prerequisite Skills for the Module.....	27
Potential STEM Misconceptions.....	28
SRL Process Components.....	31
Strategies for Differentiating Instruction Within This Module.....	31
Strategies for English Language Learners.....	33
Safety Considerations for the Activities in This Module.....	34
Desired Outcomes and Monitoring Success.....	34
Assessment Plan Overview and Map.....	35
Module Timeline.....	38
Resources.....	42
References.....	42
 4 Construction Materials Lesson Plans.....	43
Lesson Plan 1: The Race for Tall Towers.....	43
Lesson Plan 2: Success Through Failure.....	68
Lesson Plan 3: New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings.....	87
 5 Transforming Learning With Construction Materials and the <i>STEM Road Map Curriculum Series</i>.....	103
Appendix: Content Standards Addressed in This Module.....	105
Index.....	111



ABOUT THE EDITORS AND AUTHORS

Dr. Carla C. Johnson is the associate dean for research, engagement, and global partnerships and a professor of science education at Purdue University's College of Education in West Lafayette, Indiana. Dr. Johnson serves as the director of research and evaluation for the Department of Defense-funded Army Educational Outreach Program (AEOP), a global portfolio of STEM education programs, competitions, and apprenticeships. She has been a leader in STEM education for the past decade, serving as the director of STEM Centers, editor of the *School Science and Mathematics* journal, and lead researcher for the evaluation of Tennessee's Race to the Top-funded STEM portfolio. Dr. Johnson has published over 100 articles, books, book chapters, and curriculum books focused on STEM education. She is a former science and social studies teacher and was the recipient of the 2013 Outstanding Science Teacher Educator of the Year award from the Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE), the 2012 Award for Excellence in Integrating Science and Mathematics from the School Science and Mathematics Association (SSMA), the 2014 award for best paper on Implications of Research for Educational Practice from ASTE, and the 2006 Outstanding Early Career Scholar Award from SSMA. Her research focuses on STEM education policy implementation, effective science teaching, and integrated STEM approaches.

Dr. Janet B. Walton is the research assistant professor and the assistant director of evaluation for AEOP at Purdue University's College of Education. Formerly the STEM workforce program manager for Virginia's Region 2000 and founding director of the Future Focus Foundation, a nonprofit organization dedicated to enhancing the quality of STEM education in the region, she merges her economic development and education backgrounds to develop K–12 curricular materials that integrate real-life issues with sound cross-curricular content. Her research focuses on collaboration between schools and community stakeholders for STEM education and problem- and project-based learning pedagogies. With this research agenda, she works to forge productive relationships between K–12 schools and local business and community stakeholders to bring contextual STEM experiences into the classroom and provide students and educators with innovative resources and curricular materials.



ABOUT THE EDITORS AND AUTHORS

Dr. Erin Peters-Burton is the Donna R. and David E. Sterling endowed professor in science education at George Mason University in Fairfax, Virginia. She uses her experiences from 15 years as an engineer and secondary science, engineering, and mathematics teacher to develop research projects that directly inform classroom practice in science and engineering. Her research agenda is based on the idea that all students should build self-awareness of how they learn science and engineering. She works to help students see themselves as “science-minded” and help teachers create classrooms that support student skills to develop scientific knowledge. To accomplish this, she pursues research projects that investigate ways that students and teachers can use self-regulated learning theory in science and engineering, as well as how inclusive STEM schools can help students succeed. During her tenure as a secondary teacher, she had a National Board Certification in Early Adolescent Science and was an Albert Einstein Distinguished Educator Fellow for NASA. As a researcher, Dr. Peters-Burton has published over 100 articles, books, book chapters, and curriculum books focused on STEM education and educational psychology. She received the Outstanding Science Teacher Educator of the Year award from ASTE in 2016 and a Teacher of Distinction Award and a Scholarly Achievement Award from George Mason University in 2012, and in 2010 she was named University Science Educator of the Year by the Virginia Association of Science Teachers.

Jennifer Drake-Patrick is an associate professor of literacy education in the College of Education and Human Development at George Mason University. She is a former English language arts teacher, and her research is focused on disciplinary literacy.

Tamara J. Moore is an associate professor of engineering education in the College of Engineering at Purdue University. Dr. Moore’s research focuses on defining STEM integration through the use of engineering as the connection and investigating its power for student learning.

Anthony Pellegrino is an assistant professor of education in the College of Education and Human Development at George Mason University. He is a former social studies and history teacher, and his research interests include youth-centered pedagogies and social science teacher preparation.

Susan Poland is a PhD student and Presidential Scholar at George Mason University, with a focus on science education research. With an undergraduate degree in integrated science education and a master’s degree in curriculum and instruction focusing on STEM education, she has taught elementary, middle, and high school courses in engineering and all domains of science. Her research in the PhD program is on the enactment of scientific research in the classroom.

ABOUT THE EDITORS AND AUTHORS



Bradley D. Rankin is a high school mathematics teacher at Wakefield High School in Arlington, Virginia. He has been teaching mathematics for more than 20 years, is board certified, and has a PhD in mathematics education leadership from George Mason University.

Toni A. Sondergeld is an associate professor of assessment, research, and statistics in the School of Education at Drexel University in Philadelphia. Dr. Sondergeld's research concentrates on assessment and evaluation in education, with a focus on K–12 STEM.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This module was developed as a part of the STEM Road Map project (Carla C. Johnson, principal investigator). The Purdue University College of Education, General Motors, and other sources provided funding for this project.

Copyright © 2015 from *STEM Road Map: A framework for integrated STEM education*, edited by C. C. Johnson, E. E. Peters-Burton, and T. J. Moore. Reproduced by permission of Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, a division of Informa plc.

See www.routledge.com/products/9781138804234 for more information about *STEM Road Map: A Framework for Integrated STEM Education*.

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS MODULE OVERVIEW

*Erin Peters-Burton, Anthony Pellegrino, Bradley D. Rankin,
Jennifer Drake-Patrick, Susan Poland, Janet B. Walton, and Carla C. Johnson*

THEME: Innovation and Progress

LEAD DISCIPLINE: Science

MODULE SUMMARY

We use buildings every day but often take for granted how complex these structures are; this module gives students an in-depth look at the technologies and science necessary to understand these feats of engineering. In this module, students examine properties of construction materials, particularly those of high-rise buildings. Students first learn how high-rises are constructed, the influence these high-rises had on society, and how to communicate complex ideas clearly. Then, students examine the factors behind the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers in New York, focusing on how engineers use failure to learn more about the designed world. Finally, students examine innovations in construction to propose new ways to construct high-rises (adapted from Peters-Burton et al. 2015). (*Note:* Teachers should consider their students' sensitivity to subjects such as the events of September 11, 2001, and terrorism before beginning this lesson. This module may spark emotional reactions, but arguably great learning can happen when people care about the topic.)

ESTABLISHED GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The first lesson in this module examines the building of high-rises. In science class, students learn about the materials, such as concrete and rebar, used to build high-rises and the forces that must be in place to hold up such structures. In mathematics, students explore the geometry of construction, such as the methods used to place the foundation exactly at right angles. In English language arts (ELA), students learn strategies to gather complex ideas, analyze these ideas, and synthesize them to be communicated clearly. In social studies, students examine the influence that the invention of high-rises has had on societies around the world.



Construction Materials Module Overview

The second lesson focuses on the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers. In science class, students look at the physics and chemistry behind the interactions that led to the collapse, and in mathematics, students concurrently learn about vectors for the purposes of analysis. In ELA, students delve deeper into the concept of success through failure. In social studies, students consider the arguments and sources of those who believe the Twin Towers were brought down by controlled demolition and compare them with mainstream reports of the disaster.

In the third lesson, students look to the future. In science, they synthesize what they learned about current techniques and create innovations for future high-rises. In mathematics, students complete risk-benefit analyses for their innovation ideas, and in ELA, they use effective communication techniques to propose the innovations. In social studies, students conduct a round robin evaluation.

At the conclusion of this module, students will be able to do the following:

- Apply their understanding of the types of materials used to build tall towers and how they are geometrically assembled
- Communicate complex ideas clearly and completely
- Research and describe the reasons communities race to build the world's tallest towers
- Explain the physical analysis of the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers based on published data
- Compare and contrast arguments for the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers
- Synthesize what they learned about current techniques and create innovations for upcoming high-rises

The lessons in this module take into account that it may not be possible for a teacher to collaborate with teachers from other content areas or that teachers from two different subject areas may not have the same students, so teaching in an integrated way in each class may not make sense. Therefore, the lessons are written so that the science teacher can teach the science classes and only a little of each other content area. That is, a teacher who is teaching the module alone may choose to follow only the lead subject, offering enrichment activities in the other connecting subjects. Those who are teaching the modules by themselves may want to collaborate with their peers in the other subjects to get ideas for ways to incorporate the supporting connections seamlessly. Teachers who are able to teach an integrated curriculum can use the module as written for all four subjects in each of the Lesson Plan Components sections of the module.

CHALLENGE OR PROBLEM FOR STUDENTS TO SOLVE: NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS

Student teams are challenged to use knowledge of molecular-level structure to examine the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers and develop a proposal for new or improved building materials or methods that could be incorporated into the design of future high-rise buildings in U.S. cities.

Driving Question: How can we use what we know about the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers to propose new building materials or methods that could prevent the extent of damage caused by a disaster?

CONTENT STANDARDS ADDRESSED IN THIS STEM ROAD MAP MODULE

A full listing with descriptions of the standards this module addresses can be found in the appendix. Listings of the particular standards addressed within lessons are provided in a table for each lesson in Chapter 4.

STEM RESEARCH NOTEBOOK

Each student should maintain a STEM Research Notebook, which will serve as a place for students to organize their work throughout this module (see p. 12 for more general discussion on setup and use of this notebook). All written work in the module should be included in the notebook, including records of students' thoughts and ideas, fictional accounts based on the concepts in the module, and records of student progress through the EDP. The notebooks may be maintained across subject areas, giving students the opportunity to see that although their classes may be separated during the school day, the knowledge they gain is connected.

Each lesson in this module includes student handouts that should be kept in the STEM Research Notebooks after completion, as well as a prompt to which students should respond in their notebooks. You may also wish to have students include the STEM Research Notebook Guidelines student handout on page 26 in their notebooks.

Emphasize to students the importance of organizing all information in a Research Notebook. Explain to them that scientists and other researchers maintain detailed Research Notebooks in their work. These notebooks, which are crucial to researchers' work because they contain critical information and track the researchers' progress, are often considered legal documents for scientists who are pursuing patents or wish to provide proof of their discovery process.



STUDENT HANDOUT

STEM RESEARCH NOTEBOOK GUIDELINES

STEM professionals record their ideas, inventions, experiments, questions, observations, and other work details in notebooks so that they can use these notebooks to help them think about their projects and the problems they are trying to solve. You will each keep a STEM Research Notebook during this module that is like the notebooks that STEM professionals use. In this notebook, you will include all your work and notes about ideas you have. The notebook will help you connect your daily work with the big problem or challenge you are working to solve.

It is important that you organize your notebook entries under the following headings:

1. **Chapter Topic or Title of Problem or Challenge:** You will start a new chapter in your STEM Research Notebook for each new module. This heading is the topic or title of the big problem or challenge that your team is working to solve in this module.
2. **Date and Topic of Lesson Activity for the Day:** Each day, you will begin your daily entry by writing the date and the day's lesson topic at the top of a new page. Write the page number both on the page and in the table of contents.
3. **Information Gathered From Research:** This is information you find from outside resources such as websites or books.
4. **Information Gained From Class or Discussions With Team Members:** This information includes any notes you take in class and notes about things your team discusses. You can include drawings of your ideas here, too.
5. **New Data Collected From Investigations:** This includes data gathered from experiments, investigations, and activities in class.
6. **Documents:** These are handouts and other resources you may receive in class that will help you solve your big problem or challenge. Paste or staple these documents in your STEM Research Notebook for safekeeping and easy access later.
7. **Personal Reflections:** Here, you record your own thoughts and ideas on what you are learning.
8. **Lesson Prompts:** These are questions or statements that your teacher assigns you within each lesson to help you solve your big problem or challenge. You will respond to the prompts in your notebook.
9. **Other Items:** This section includes any other items your teacher gives you or other ideas or questions you may have.



MODULE LAUNCH

Explain to students the following ideas: Humans make use of buildings daily, usually without giving any thought to the work and ingenuity that created them, and they tend to take the structural integrity of buildings for granted. There also seems to be some status that comes with the building of tall towers, and it is speculated that the attack was made on the World Trade Center Twin Towers because they represented a powerful center in the United States. Post the following thought-provoking statement to engage students in purposeful exploration of the topic: Having the best technologies, such as the tallest building in the world, makes a country powerful. Have students discuss their positions on this statement, the extent to which they agree or disagree, and why. Compile their ideas on the board or in a computer document.

PREREQUISITE SKILLS FOR THE MODULE

Students enter this module with a wide range of preexisting skills, information, and knowledge. Table 3.1 (p. 28) provides an overview of prerequisite skills and knowledge that students are expected to apply in this module, along with examples of how they apply this knowledge throughout the module. Differentiation strategies are also provided for students who may need additional support in acquiring or applying this knowledge.

**Table 3.1. Prerequisite Key Knowledge and Examples of Applications and Differentiation Strategies**

Prerequisite Key Knowledge	Application of Knowledge by Students	Differentiation for Students Needing Additional Knowledge
Chemical bonding and inorganic chemical reactions	Understand how atoms bond and what inorganic chemicals will react and in what way to be able to understand the composition of concrete and cement.	Review chemical bonding: www.pbslearningmedia.org/resource/lsp07.sci.phys.matter.chembonds/chemical-bonds Review chemical reactions: www.chemtutor.com/react.htm
Mathematical functions	Understand the role of variables in a function to be able to understand the loads (forces) in constructing static objects.	Review the role of mathematical functions: www.mathsisfun.com/sets/function.html
Basic geometry	Understand definitions for and be able to identify a line, plane, and angle so that they can interpret the geometry of tall towers.	Review concepts regarding lines, planes, and angles: www.virtualnerd.com/pre-algebra/geometry/points-lines-planes-angles
Molecular structure	Understand how molecules are structured and the macro properties that are a result of this structure. (Different carbon structures such as graphite and diamond are good examples of how the same elements can be arranged differently to produce different properties of the substance.)	Review the shapes of molecules and ions: www.chembio.uoguelph.ca/educmat/chm19104/shapes_of_molecules_and_ions.htm

POTENTIAL STEM MISCONCEPTIONS

Students enter the classroom with a wide variety of prior knowledge and ideas, so it is important to be alert to misconceptions, or inappropriate understandings of foundational knowledge. These misconceptions can be classified as one of several types: “pre-conceived notions,” opinions based on popular beliefs or understandings; “nonscientific beliefs,” knowledge students have gained about science from sources outside the scientific community; “conceptual misunderstandings,” incorrect conceptual models based on incomplete understanding of concepts; “vernacular misconceptions,” misunderstandings of words based on their common use versus their scientific use; and “factual

misconceptions,” incorrect or imprecise knowledge learned in early life that remains unchallenged (NRC 1997, p. 28). Misconceptions must be addressed and dismantled in order for students to reconstruct their knowledge, and therefore teachers should be prepared to take the following steps:

- *Identify students’ misconceptions.*
- *Provide a forum for students to confront their misconceptions.*
- *Help students reconstruct and internalize their knowledge, based on scientific models.* (NRC 1997, p. 29)

Keeley and Harrington (2010) recommend using diagnostic tools such as probes and formative assessment to identify and confront student misconceptions and begin the process of reconstructing student knowledge. Keeley and Harrington’s *Uncovering Student Ideas in Science* series contains probes targeted toward uncovering student misconceptions in a variety of areas. In particular, Volumes 1 and 2 of *Uncovering Student Ideas in Physical Science* (Keeley and Harrington 2010, 2014), about force/motion and electricity/magnetism, may be useful resources for addressing student misconceptions in this module.

Some commonly held misconceptions specific to lesson content are provided with each lesson so that you can be alert for student misunderstanding of the science concepts presented and used during this module. The American Association for the Advancement of Science has also identified misconceptions that students frequently hold regarding various science concepts (see the links at <http://assessment.aaas.org/topics>).

Students will have various types of prior knowledge about the concepts introduced in this lesson. Table 3.2 (p. 30) outlines some common misconceptions students may have concerning these concepts. Because of the breadth of students’ experiences, it is not possible to anticipate every misconception that students may bring as they approach this lesson. Incorrect or inaccurate prior understanding of concepts can influence student learning in the future, however, so it is important to be alert to misconceptions such as those presented in the table.



Table 3.2. Common Misconceptions About the Concepts in This Module

Topic	Student Misconception	Explanation
Engineering design process (EDP)	Engineers use only the scientific process to solve problems in their work.	The scientific method is used to test predictions and explanations about the world. The EDP, on the other hand, is used to create a solution to a problem. In reality, engineers use both processes. (See Teacher Background Information section on p.47 for a discussion of this topic.)
Vectors	Adding the magnitude of vectors gives the resultant vector.	If vectors are located in more than one direction, they need to be translated to the <i>x</i> - and <i>y</i> -axes separately, then added and resolved into one vector.
Design and building materials	Synthetic chemicals are dangerous.	Many chemicals that are synthesized in laboratories are the same as those produced by nature.
	Vertical loads are the safest structures.	Particularly with tall tower construction, there is a bending momentum, so lateral stability is just as important as vertical stability.

SRL PROCESS COMPONENTS

Table 3.3 illustrates some of the activities in the Construction Materials module and how they align to the SRL process before, during, and after learning.

Table 3.3. SRL Process Components

Learning Process Components	Example From Construction Materials Module	Lesson Number
BEFORE LEARNING		
Motivates students	Students view videos on why there is a race to build the world's tallest tower.	Lesson 1
Evokes prior learning	While viewing the videos on the race for the tallest tower, students record any information they already know about forces.	Lesson 1
DURING LEARNING		
Focuses on important features	Students present their scientific explanations of the Twin Towers collapse for peer review.	Lesson 2
Helps students monitor their progress	Students find connections between the materials they found in Lesson 1 and the reports of the collapse of the Twin Towers.	Lesson 2
AFTER LEARNING		
Evaluates learning	Students present their ideas for innovations in a <i>Shark Tank</i> format, sharing condensed ideas in a round robin for feedback.	Lesson 3
Takes account of what worked and what did not work	Students write reflections in their STEM Research Notebooks on the peer review of their challenge presentation.	Lesson 3

STRATEGIES FOR DIFFERENTIATING INSTRUCTION WITHIN THIS MODULE

For the purposes of this curriculum module, differentiated instruction is conceptualized as a way to tailor instruction—including process, content, and product—to various student needs in your class. A number of differentiation strategies are integrated into lessons across the module. The problem- and project-based learning approach used in the lessons is designed to address students' multiple intelligences by providing a variety of entry points and methods to investigate the key concepts in the module (for example, investigating the development of tall tower technologies throughout history). Differentiation strategies for students needing support in prerequisite knowledge can be found in Table 3.1, (p. 28). You are encouraged to use information gained about student prior knowledge during introductory activities and discussions to inform your instructional



Construction Materials Module Overview

differentiation. Strategies incorporated into this lesson include flexible grouping, varied environmental learning contexts, assessments, compacting, and tiered assignments and scaffolding.

Flexible Grouping. Students work collaboratively in a variety of activities throughout this module. Grouping strategies you might employ include student-led grouping, grouping students according to ability level, grouping students randomly, or grouping them so that students in each group have complementary strengths (for instance, one student might be strong in mathematics, another in art, and another in writing). You may also choose to group students based on their prior knowledge about the topics of this module. For Lesson 2, you may choose to maintain the same student groupings as in Lesson 1, since students will be adding to their knowledge based on the lists of molecular-level structures of construction materials or regroup students according to another of the strategies described here. You may therefore wish to consider grouping students in Lesson 2 into design teams on which they will remain throughout the remainder of the module.

Varied Environmental Learning Contexts. Students have the opportunity to learn in various contexts throughout the module, including alone, in groups, in quiet reading and research-oriented activities, and in active learning through inquiry and design activities. In addition, students learn in a variety of ways, including through doing inquiry activities, journaling, reading fiction and nonfiction texts, watching videos, participating in class discussion, and conducting web-based research.

Assessments. Students are assessed in a variety of ways throughout the module, including individual and collaborative formative and summative assessments. Students have the opportunity to produce work via written text, oral and media presentations, and modeling. You may choose to provide students with additional choices of media for their products (for example, PowerPoint presentations, posters, or student-created websites or blogs).

Compacting. Based on student prior knowledge you may wish to adjust instructional activities for students who exhibit prior mastery of a learning objective. For instance, if some students exhibit mastery of vectors in mathematics in Lesson 1, you may wish to limit the amount of time they spend practicing these skills and instead introduce ELA or social studies connections with associated activities.

Tiered Assignments and Scaffolding. Based on your awareness of student ability, understanding of concepts, and mastery of skills, you may wish to provide students with variations on activities by adding complexity to assignments or providing more or fewer learning supports for activities throughout the module. For instance, some students may need additional support in identifying key search words and phrases for web-based research or may benefit from cloze sentence handouts to enhance vocabulary understanding. Other students may benefit from expanded reading selections and additional



reflective writing or from working with manipulatives and other visual representations of mathematical concepts. You may also work with your school librarian to compile a set of topical resources at a variety of reading levels.

STRATEGIES FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

Students who are developing proficiency in English language skills require additional supports to simultaneously learn academic content and the specialized language associated with specific content areas. WIDA has created a framework for providing support to these students and makes available rubrics and guidance on differentiating instructional materials for English language learners (ELLs) (see www.wida.us/get.aspx?id=7). In particular, ELL students may benefit from additional sensory supports such as images, physical modeling, and graphic representations of module content, as well as interactive support through collaborative work. This module incorporates a variety of sensory supports and offers ongoing opportunities for ELL students to work with collaboratively. The focus in this module is on high-rise buildings, particularly in Lesson 1, which explores how different cultures around the world are racing to build the tallest tower. This focus affords opportunities to access the culturally diverse experiences of ELL students in the classroom.

Teachers differentiating instruction for ELL students should carefully consider the needs of these students as they introduce and use academic language in various language domains (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) throughout this module. To adequately differentiate instruction for ELL students, teachers should have an understanding of the proficiency level of each student. The following five overarching K–12 WIDA learning standards are relevant to this module:

- Standard 1: Social and Instructional language. Focus on social behavior in group work and class discussions.
- Standard 2: The language of Language Arts. Focus on forms of print, elements of text, picture books, comprehension strategies, main ideas and details, persuasive language, creation of informational text, and editing and revision.
- Standard 3: The language of Mathematics. Focus on numbers and operations, patterns, number sense, measurement, and strategies for problem solving.
- Standard 4: The language of Science. Focus on safety practices, magnetism, energy sources, scientific process, and scientific inquiry.
- Standard 5: The language of Social Studies. Focus on change from past to present, historical events, resources, transportation, map reading, and location of objects and places.



SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE ACTIVITIES IN THIS MODULE

For the activities in this module, all laboratory occupants must wear personal protective equipment consisting of indirectly vented chemical splash goggles, particulate respirator (face mask), nonlatex gloves, and aprons during all phases of inquiry activities (setup, hands-on investigation, and takedown). For more general safety guidelines, see the “Safety in STEM” section in Chapter 2 (p. 18).

DESIRED OUTCOMES AND MONITORING SUCCESS

The student outcomes begin with small tasks and build to create more complex thinking about construction materials. First, students get a basic understanding of the materials used in buildings and the molecular compositions of the construction materials. Then, building on this basic knowledge, students make connections to vector forces and geometry of creating tall towers. While students are learning to connect these complex ideas, they are also learning how to synthesize information and communicate the result effectively. Once students master the materials and processes of building tall towers, they investigate the symbolism of tall towers and the historical and social aspects of their influence on humans. The theme of the module now turns from constructing to the collapse of the Twin Towers and how engineers use failure to learn and create better innovations. Students investigate and evaluate the arguments of citizens who claim there was a conspiracy that led to the collapse and compare those claims with those of scientists and engineers. Armed with this knowledge, students model from past lessons learned and look at the current problems of tall towers, posing solutions through innovations in their final presentation. The desired outcomes for this module are outlined in Table 3.4, along with suggested ways to gather evidence to monitor student success. For more specific details on desired outcomes, see the Established Goals and Objectives sections for the module and individual lessons.

Table 3.4. Desired Outcomes and Evidence of Success in Achieving Identified Outcomes

Desired Outcome	Evidence of Success	
	Performance Tasks	Other Measures
Students synthesize the characteristics of the materials and the processes of building necessary for engineering tall towers.	<p>Students use the list that they made about the materials used and investigate their molecular level structures.</p> <p>Students develop warrants about the principles that make an effective synthesis of complex information.</p> <p>Students present their ideas about how the geometry and materials work together to create buildings, using the principles of communication they agreed to the previous day.</p>	Communication clarity is assessed on the rubrics for the products.
Students determine the major factors that caused the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers	Students present their scientific explanations for the collapse of the Twin Towers for peer review.	Students interpret what it means for engineers to achieve success through failure and produce webcasts explaining their position. They each listen to two other students' webcasts and make connections among them.
Students develop innovations to address current problems caused by building and maintaining tall towers.	Student teams present their proposals for their innovations related to high-rises and conduct a peer review.	

ASSESSMENT PLAN OVERVIEW AND MAP

The assessment plan for this module can be conceptualized in three segments: how tall towers are built, the causes of the collapse of the Twin Towers, and innovations to improve current problems in tall tower construction and maintenance. Each of these segments has several formative assessments leading to a summative assessment. Some of the assessments are individual and some are group projects. The assessments have different approaches, including infographics, a round robin of condensed presentations, webcasts, peer reviews, and a formal proposal presentation. Table 3.5 (p. 36) provides an overview of the major group and individual *products* and *deliverables* that constitute the assessment for this module. See Table 3.6 (p. 36) for a full assessment map of formative and summative assessments in this module.



Table 3.5. Major Products and Deliverables in Lead Disciplines for Groups and Individuals

Lesson	Major Group Products and Deliverables	Major Individual Products and Deliverables
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teams' creation of infographics explaining how high-rises have influenced society 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation of ideas about how the geometry and materials work together to create buildings, using the principles of communication they agreed to the previous day
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teams' evaluation of scientific arguments presented about collapse of the Twin Towers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation of scientific explanations for the collapse of the Twin towers for peer review STEM Research Notebook prompts
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teams' presentations of ideas for innovations in a round robin <i>Shark Tank</i> format Teams' presentation of proposals for the innovation related to high-rises Peer review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interpretation of what it means for engineers to achieve success through failure and production of webcasts explaining their position Listening to two other students' webcasts and making connections among them STEM Research Notebook prompt

Table 3.6. Assessment Map for Construction Materials Module

Lesson	Assessment	Group/ Individual	Formative/ Summative	Lesson Objective Assessed
1	STEM Research Notebook <i>prompts</i>	Group/ individual	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explain the geometry of building high-rises and tall towers. Explain the makeup of the construction materials and how they are used to build high-rises.
1	Poster on Mathematical Connections to High-Rise Construction <i>rubric</i>	Group	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explain the geometry of building high-rises and tall towers. Synthesize ideas to communicate complex and connected information effectively.

Table 3.6. (continued)

Lesson	Assessment	Group/ Individual	Formative/ Summative	Lesson Objective Assessed
1	Graphic Organizer for Materials and Processes of Building Tall Towers <i>handout</i>	Group	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synthesize and expand on the makeup of the construction materials and how they are used to build high-rises.
1	Problems and Limitations of High-Rises <i>handout</i>	Group	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe problems and limitations of high-rises. • Develop potential solutions to high-rise problems and limitations.
1	Infographic <i>rubric</i>	Group	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyze the impacts of high-rises throughout history on human progress. • Synthesize ideas to communicate complex and connected information effectively.
1	Peer Review <i>handout</i>	Individual	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reflect on feedback
2	STEM Research Notebook <i>prompts</i>	Group/ individual	Summative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synthesize learning from multiple sources related to the collapse of the Twin Towers.
2	Technical Report <i>rubric</i>	Individual	Summative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain the major science and engineering principles associated with the collapse of the Twin Towers. • Interpret the idea of success through failure.
2	Evaluating a Debate <i>scoring sheet</i>	Group/ individual	Summative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the scientific arguments and the arguments posed by those who believe the Twin Towers were brought down by controlled demolition and explain which have more credible evidence. • Organize materials for presentation to minimize redundancy and hit all key points. • Use appropriate evidence to support argument. • Present logical argument addressing strengths of their position and weaknesses of opposing side. • Pose and respond to questions effectively. • Communicate clearly and respectfully while presenting argument.



Table 3.6. (continued)

Lesson	Assessment	Group/ Individual	Formative/ Summative	Lesson Objective Assessed
2	Graphic Organizer for Assessing Student Prior Knowledge <i>handout</i>	Individual	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explain the major science and engineering principles associated with the collapse of the Twin Towers.
2	<i>Shark Tank rubric</i>	Group	Summative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectively communicate ideas for innovations for high-rises.
3	STEM Research Notebook <i>prompts</i>	Group/ individual	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document use of engineering design process to solve a STEM problem and accomplish a complex task.
3	Proposal Presentation <i>rubric</i>	Group	Summative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Propose a new technology to address a current problem, either engineering or social, with building high-rises. Support ideas with reliable evidence. Conduct risk-benefit analysis for product using appropriate variables. Communicate proposal effectively.
3	Graphic Organizer for Reflection on Learning Strategies Used in Module <i>handout</i>	Individual	Formative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Understand which learning strategies are effective and ineffective for student.

MODULE TIMELINE

The module can be described as three segments of work: (1) research about the cultural value and structural designs of tall towers, (2) investigations involving factors of the collapse of the World Trade Center Twin Towers to inform ideas for innovations, and (3) development and communication of ideas for new or improved building materials or methods that could be used for construction and safety of future high-rise buildings in U.S. cities. Tables 3.7–3.11 (pp. 39–41) provide timelines for each week of the module.

Table 3.7. STEM Road Map Module Schedule for Week One

Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5
<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Launch the module by having students begin to explore what they already know about high-rises, geometry of construction, influences of high-rises on society, and synthesizing complex ideas. 	<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students conduct an internet search to investigate materials needed to construct a high-rise and watch videos about how high-rises are constructed. 	<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students investigate the molecular-level structures of the materials on the list they made. Students investigate the geometry and mathematical models involved in building a tall tower. 	<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students present posters with their ideas about how the geometry and materials work together to create buildings. 	<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students investigate the societal influences of high-rises. Student teams create an infographic explaining how high-rises have influenced society.

Table 3.8. STEM Road Map Module Schedule for Week Two

Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10
<p><i>Lesson 1</i> <i>The Race for Tall Towers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teams continue to work on their infographic explaining how high-rises have influenced society. Then, students do a gallery walk of the completed infographics. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i> <i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students consider the symbolism in the attacks on the World Trade Center Twin Towers in New York. Students investigate the science behind the collapse of the towers from reliable resources. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i> <i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue investigation of the science behind the collapse of the towers. Students present their scientific explanations of the collapse of the towers for peer review. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i> <i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students look for connections between the materials they listed at the beginning of the module and what they found from reliable resources. Students identify mathematical models and force vectors used to explain phenomena about the collapse of the towers. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i> <i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students find examples of engineering mishaps that led to new innovations. Students interpret what it means for engineers to achieve success through failure and produce webcasts explaining their position.

Table 3.9. STEM Road Map Module Schedule for Week Three

Day 11	Day 12	Day 13	Day 14	Day 15
<p><i>Lesson 2</i></p> <p><i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students investigate and analyze the arguments of those who believe the Twin Towers were brought down by controlled demolition and compare them with arguments from reliable sources. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i></p> <p><i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue analysis of the arguments made by both sides. Then, student teams present a side-by-side analysis. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i></p> <p><i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students participate in a debate focusing on resolving this question: What were the major problems that caused the materials failure and ultimate collapse of the Twin Towers? 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i></p> <p><i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students conduct research to find potential solutions for the problems identified in the debate. 	<p><i>Lesson 2</i></p> <p><i>Success Through Failure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student teams present their ideas for innovations in a round robin <i>Shark Tank</i> format, sharing condensed presentations for feedback.

Table 3.10. STEM Road Map Module Schedule for Week Four

Day 16	Day 17	Day 18	Day 19	Day 20
<p><i>Lesson 3</i></p> <p><i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Launch the challenge. Student teams develop a proposal for new or improved building materials or methods that could be used for future high-rise buildings in U.S. cities. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i></p> <p><i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students research a current problem with high-rises and investigate possible solutions for presentations. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i></p> <p><i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students continue to research a current problem with high-rises and investigate possible solutions. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i></p> <p><i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students consider social implications of their technology and incorporate these into their presentations. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i></p> <p><i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students conduct risk-benefit analyses for their innovations and incorporate their analyses into the presentation.

Table 3.11. STEM Road Map Module Schedule for Week Five

Day 21	Day 22	Day 23	Day 24	Day 25
<p><i>Lesson 3</i> <i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students use communication best practices for proposal presentation learned in class to prepare proposals. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i> <i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students finalize presentations of proposals. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i> <i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student teams present their proposals for the innovation related to high-rises and conduct peer reviews. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i> <i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student teams continue to present their proposals and conduct peer reviews. 	<p><i>Lesson 3</i> <i>New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student teams continue to present their proposals and conduct peer reviews. • Students write a brief reflection on the knowledge they gained in this module.



RESOURCES

Teachers have the option to coteach portions of this module and may want to combine classes for activities such as mathematical modeling, geometric investigations, discussing social influences, or conducting research. The media specialist can help teachers locate resources for students to view and read about construction materials, high-rises, examples of engineering mishaps, and the collapse of the Twin Towers. Special educators and reading specialists can help find supplemental sources for students needing extra support in reading and writing. Additional resources may be found online. Community resources for this module may include guest speakers on construction materials or high-rises, such as general contractors, masonry experts, small business owners, engineers, and architects. *The Team Handbook* (2003), by Peter R. Scholtes, Brian L. Joiner, and Barbara J. Streibel, is a good resource to learn more about the use of teaming in the classroom.

REFERENCES

- Keeley, P., and R. Harrington. 2010. *Uncovering student ideas in physical science. Vol. 1, 45 new force and motion assessment probes*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
- Keeley, P., and R. Harrington. 2014. *Uncovering student ideas in physical science. Vol. 2, 39 new electricity and magnetism formative assessment probes*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
- National Research Council (NRC). 1997. *Science teaching reconsidered: A handbook*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Peters-Burton, E. E., P. Seshaiyer, S. R. Burton, J. Drake-Patrick, and C. C. Johnson. 2015. The STEM Road Map for grades 9–12. In *STEM Road Map: A framework for integrated STEM education*, ed. C. C. Johnson, E. E. Peters-Burton, and T. J. Moore, 124–62. New York: Routledge. www.routledge.com/products/9781138804234.
- Scholtes, P. R., B. L. Joiner, and B. J. Streibel. 2003. *The team handbook*. Madison, WI: Oriel Incorporated.
- WIDA. 2012. 2012 Amplification of the English language development standards: Kindergarten–grade 12. www.wida.us/standards/eld.aspx.



INDEX

Page numbers printed in **boldface type** indicate tables, figures, or handouts.

A

Activity/Exploration

described, 12

New Technologies for High-Rise
Buildings lesson plan, 92–93

Race for Tall Towers lesson plan,
51–54

Success Through Failure lesson
plan, 74–76, **80**

after learning, SRL theory, **16**, 18

aggregates, 47

assessment

assessment maps, 15–16

assessment plan overview and
map, 35, **36–38**

described, 12

desired outcomes and monitoring
success, 34, **35**

role of assessment in *STEM Road
Map Curriculum Series*, 13–16

See also Evaluation/Assessment

B

before learning, SRL theory, **16**, 17

C

cause and effect theme, 3

cement, 47, 51–52

*Common Core State Standards for English
Language Arts (CCSS ELA)*

New Technologies for High-Rise
Buildings lesson plan, **89–90**

Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **46**

Success Through Failure lesson
plan, **70**

summary table, **107–108**

*Common Core State Standards for
Mathematics (CCSS Mathematics)*

New Technologies for High-Rise
Buildings lesson plan, **89**

Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **46**

Success Through Failure lesson
plan, **70**

summary table, **107–108**

comprehensive assessment system, 14

concrete, 47–48, 51–53

connection to the challenge

New Technologies for High-Rise
Buildings lesson plan, 91–92

Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 49

Success Through Failure lesson
plan, 73

conspiracy theory, 71

construction materials module overview

assessment plan overview and
map, 35, **36–38**

challenge or problem to solve, 25

content standards, 25

desired outcomes and monitoring
success, 34, **35**

differentiation strategies, 31–33

English language learner (ELL)
strategies, 33

established goals and objectives,
23–24

lead discipline, 23

module launch, 27

module summary, 23

INDEX

- module timeline, 38, **39–41**
- prerequisite skills, 27, **28**
- resources, 42
- safety, 34
- SRL process components, **31**
- STEM misconceptions, 28–29, **30**
- STEM Research Notebook
 - guidelines, 25, **26**
 - theme, 23
- content standards
 - Common Core Mathematics and English Language Arts (ELA) Standards, summary table, **107–108**
 - construction materials module
 - overview, 25
 - English Language Development Standards, **110**
 - Framework for 21st Century Learning, skills, **109**
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 88, **88–90**
 - Next Generation Science Standards* summary table, 105, **106**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 45, **45–46**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 69, **69–70**
- D**
 - debate evaluation scoresheet, **81–82**
 - differentiation strategies, 31–33
 - during learning, SRL theory, **16**, 17–18
- E**
 - Elaboration/Application of Knowledge described, 12
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 93, **99–102**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 56–57, **62–65**, **67**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 77–78, **85–86**
 - ELA connections
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 92, 93
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 50, 53, 54–55, 57
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 74, 75, 77–78
 - embedded formative assessments, 13–14
 - engineering and learning from failure, 71–72
 - engineering design process (EDP), 91, 92, **95**
 - English Language Development Standards, **110**
 - English language learner (ELL) strategies, 33
 - EPA construction standards, 48
 - essential questions
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 87
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 43
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 68
 - established goals and objectives
 - construction materials module
 - overview, 23–24
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 87
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 43
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 68
 - Evaluation/Assessment
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 94, **98**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 57
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 78
 - Explanation
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, 93
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, 54–55, **60**, **66**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, 76–77
- F**
 - force, 71
 - Framework for 21st Century Learning
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, **90**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **46**
 - skills summary table, **109**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, **70**

free body diagram, 71, 72

G

Graphic Organizer student handouts
 New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan, **99–102**
 Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **61**
 Success Through Failure lesson
 plan, **83–84**

H

high-rise construction
 challenges of, 48, **62–65**
 defined, 47
 teacher background information,
 47

I

Infographic rubric, **66**
 infographics, 48, 58
 innovation and progress theme, 3
 Internet resources
 New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan, 94
 Race for Tall Towers lesson plan,
 57–59
 Success Through Failure lesson
 plan, 78–79
 Introductory Activity/Engagement
 New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan, 91–92, **95**
 Race for Tall Towers lesson plan,
 49–51
 Success Through Failure lesson
 plan, 73–74

K

key vocabulary
 New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan, 88, **91**
 Race for Tall Towers lesson plan,
 45, 47
 Success Through Failure lesson
 plan, 69, **71**

L

lesson plans. *See* New Technologies for
 High-Rise Buildings lesson plan; Race
 for Tall Towers lesson plan; Success
 Through Failure lesson plan

M

mathematics connections
 New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan, 92–93
 Race for Tall Towers lesson plan,
 50, 53, 54, 56–57
 Race for Tall Towers poster rubric,
60
 Success Through Failure lesson
 plan, 73, 74–75, 76, 77–78
 mind maps, 49, 58

N

New Technologies for High-Rise
 Buildings lesson plan
 content standards, 88, **88–90**
 engineering design process (EDP),
95
 essential question, 87
 established goals and objectives, 87
 Graphic Organizer student
 handout, **99–102**
 Internet resources, 94
 key vocabulary, 88, **91**
 lesson plan components, 91–94
 Activity/Exploration, 92–93
 Elaboration/Application of
 Knowledge, 93, **99–102**
 Evaluation/Assessment, 94, **98**
 Explanation, 93
 Introductory Activity/
 Engagement, 91–92, **95**
 materials, 87
 New Technologies student
 handouts, **96–97**
 preparation for lesson, 91
 proposal presentation rubric, **98**
 Reflection on Learning Strategies
 student handouts, **99–102**
 student peer review, 91
 teacher background information,
 91

INDEX

- time required for, **40–41**, **87**
 - Next Generation Science Standards*
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, **88–89**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **45**, **45–46**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, **69**
 - summary table, **105**, **106**
- O**
- optimizing the human experience theme, **5**
- P**
- Peer Review student handout, **67**
 - Problems and Limitations student handout, **62–65**
 - problem scoping, engineering design process (EDP), **9–10**, **10**
 - proposal presentation rubric, **98**
 - prototypes, **11**
- R**
- Race for Tall Towers lesson plan
 - content standards, **45**, **45–46**
 - essential questions, **43**
 - established goals and objectives, **43**
 - Graphic Organizer student handout, **61**
 - Infographic rubric, **66**
 - Internet resources, **57–59**
 - key vocabulary, **45**, **47**
 - lesson plan components, **49–75**
 - Activity/Exploration, **51–54**
 - Elaboration/Application of Knowledge, **56–57**, **62–65**, **67**
 - Evaluation/Assessment, **57**
 - Explanation, **54–55**, **60**, **66**
 - Introductory Activity/Engagement, **49–51**
 - materials, **44–45**
 - mathematical connections poster rubric, **60**
 - Peer Review student handout, **67**
 - preparation for lesson, **48–49**
- Problems and Limitations student handout, **62–65**
 - safety, **50**, **52**
 - teacher background information, **47–48**
 - time required for, **39**, **44**
- rebar, **47**
- Reflection on Learning Strategies student handouts, **99–102**
 - the represented world theme, **4**
 - risk-benefit analysis, **91**
- S**
- safety in STEM, **18–19**, **34**
 - scaffolding, **13**
 - science class
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, **92**, **93**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **49–50**, **51–53**, **54**, **56**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, **73**, **74–75**, **76**, **77–78**
 - self-regulated learning theory (SRL), **16–18**, **16**, **31**
 - Shark Tank rubric, **85–86**
 - social studies class
 - New Technologies for High-Rise Buildings lesson plan, **92**, **93**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **51**, **53–54**, **55**, **57**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, **74**, **76**, **77–78**
 - solution generation, engineering design process (EDP), **10–11**, **10**
 - STEM misconceptions, **28–29**, **30**
 - STEM Research Notebook
 - described, **12–13**
 - guidelines, **25**, **26**
 - Race for Tall Towers lesson plan, **49**, **50**, **51–52**, **56**
 - Success Through Failure lesson plan, **74**, **76**
 - STEM Road Map Curriculum Series*
 - about, **1**
 - engineering design process (EDP), **9–11**, **10**
 - framework for STEM integration, **6–7**
 - learning cycle strategy, **11–12**

- need for, 5–6, 7
 - project- and problem-based learning strategy, 9
 - role of assessment in, 13–16
 - safety in STEM, 18–19
 - self-regulated learning theory (SRL), 16–18, **16**
 - standards-based approach to, 2
 - STEM Research Notebook, 12–13
 - themes in, 2–5
 - transforming learning with, 103–104
- student peer review, 67, 91
- Success Through Failure lesson plan
- content standards, 69, **69–70**
 - debate evaluation scoresheet, **81–82**
 - essential questions, 68
 - established goals and objectives, 68
 - Graphic Organizer student handout, **83–84**
 - Internet resources, 78–79
 - key vocabulary, 69, **71**
 - lesson plan components, 73–78
 - Activity/Exploration, 74–76, **80**
 - Elaboration/Application of Knowledge, 77–78, **85–86**
 - Evaluation/Assessment, 78
 - Explanation, 76–77
 - Introductory Activity/Engagement, 73–74
 - materials, 68
 - preparation for lesson, 72
 - Shark Tank rubric, **85–86**
 - teacher background information, 71–72
 - Technical Report rubric, **80**
 - time required for, **39–40**, 68
- sustainable systems theme, 4–5
- T**
- tall towers, 47, 49–50, 51, 53, 54, 56–57, **61**
- Technical Report rubric, **80**
- technical reports, 75, **80**
- V**
- vector, 71
- W**
- World Trade Center Twin Towers
- collapse of, 49, 71
 - See also* Success Through Failure lesson plan



STEM Road Map for High School

Construction Materials

What if you could challenge your 11th graders to gain an understanding of the feats of engineering required to build high-rise buildings—and maybe even improve them? With this volume in the *STEM Road Map Curriculum Series*, you can!

Construction Materials outlines a journey that will steer your students toward authentic problem solving while grounding them in integrated STEM disciplines. The series is designed to meet the growing need to infuse real-world learning into K–12 classrooms.

This book is an interdisciplinary module that uses project- and problem-based learning. It gives students an inside look at the complex technologies and science behind the buildings they may take for granted. They'll examine micro- and macro-properties of construction materials, particularly those of high-rise buildings, through a unit divided into three sections that explore the following:

1. How high-rises are constructed, their influence on society, and how to communicate complex ideas clearly
2. The factors involved in the collapse of the World Trade Center's Twin Towers in New York, with a focus on how engineers use structural failures to learn more about the designed world
3. Construction innovations that will prompt your students to propose new ways to construct high-rises

The *STEM Road Map Curriculum Series* is anchored in the *Next Generation Science Standards*, the *Common Core State Standards*, and the Framework for 21st Century Learning. In-depth and flexible, *Construction Materials* can be used as a whole unit or in part to meet the needs of districts, schools, and teachers who are charting a course toward an integrated STEM approach.



Grade 11

NSTApress
National Science Teachers Association

PB425X4
ISBN 978-1-68140-471-4

